

REMARKS

This is a response to the office action issued Jan. 1, 2006. Claims 1-20 are pending.

The examiner objected to the specification because the parent patent number is now known. That patent number has been added to the specification.

Claims 1-8, 10-18 and 20 were rejected under obviousness double patenting over claims 1-23 of U.S. 6,335,688. Claims 1-8, 10-18 and 20 were rejected under obviousness double patenting over claims 1-22 of U.S. 6,674,367. Claims 9 and 19 were rejected under obviousness double patenting over claims 1-22 of 6,674,367 in view of Loosmore et al.

The applicant has filed a terminal disclaimer including the required fee in this response to overcome the double patenting rejections.

In light of the amendment to the specification and the terminal disclaimer, the examiner will find the claims in condition for allowance. The examiner is respectfully requested to place the case in condition for allowance at his earliest convenience.

Respectfully Submitted

Clifford Kraft

Clifford H. Kraft
35,229
Attorney of Record

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

Clifford H. Kraft
320 Robin Hill Dr.
Naperville, IL 60540

708 528-9092 tel.

This paper is being submitted by United States First Class
Mail addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,
Alexandria VA 22313-1450 with sufficient postage on:

Date: MARCH 27, 2006

Signature: *Clifford Kraft*

Name: Clifford H. Kraft